

## Solution Sheet 12

### Exercise 12.1

Let  $f_n, f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be measurable maps on Banach spaces and such that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  for any sequence  $x_n$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  converging to  $x$ . Prove that  $f$  is continuous and  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  locally uniformly.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  has a discontinuity at  $x$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $y_n \rightarrow x$  such that

$$|f(y_n) - f(x)| \geq \delta.$$

Let  $N_0 = 1$ , and since  $f_n(y_k) \rightarrow f(y_k)$

$$N_k = \inf\{N \geq N_{k-1} : |f_n(y_k) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \forall n \geq N\} < \infty.$$

Define  $x_n = y_k$  if  $n \in [N_k, N_{k+1})$  then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . But  $|f_n(x_n) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$  by the construction, contradicting with the assumption and proving that  $f$  must be a continuous functions.

Suppose that  $f_n$  does not converge locally uniformly. Then for any relatively compact set  $K$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $x_n \in K$  such that

$$|f_{n_k}(x_k) - f(x_k)| > \delta.$$

Now  $x_k$  has a convergent subsequence, which we denote by  $y_k$ , with limit  $y$ . We have

$$|f_{n_k}(y_k) - f(y_k)| > \delta.$$

Since  $f$  converges locally uniformly, there exists  $N$  with  $|f(y_n) - f(y)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$  for any  $k > N$  and

$$|f_{n_k}(y_k) - f(y)| > \delta/2,$$

contradicts the assumption. We have showed therefore for any  $K$  compact, any  $\delta$  there exists  $N$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \delta$  for all  $x \in K$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 12.2

Prove the following Continuous Mapping Theorem:

Let  $f_n, f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be measurable maps between metric spaces such that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  for any sequence  $x_n$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  converging to  $x$ . If  $\mu_n, \mu \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X})$  with  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ , Then  $(f_n)_*\mu_n \rightarrow f_*\mu$ . In particular, if  $\xi_n$  are random variables converging to  $\xi$  in distribution, then  $f_n(\xi_n)$  converges to  $f(\xi)$  in distribution.

*Proof.* Denote  $\nu_n = (f_n)_*\mu_n$  and  $\nu = f_*\mu$ . By Portmanteau theorem it is sufficient to show for any  $G \subset \mathcal{Y}$  open,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(G) \geq \nu(G).$$

Fix such an open set  $G \subset \mathcal{Y}$ . For any  $x \in f^{-1}(G)$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  and a number  $m$  such that for all  $k \geq m$ ,  $f_k(U) \subset G$ . Consequently,  $x \in \cap_{k=m}^{\infty} (f_k^{-1}(G))^o$ , where the superscript denotes the interior of a set, in particular it is an open set. Thus,

$$f^{-1}(G) \subset \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=m}^{\infty} (f_k^{-1}(G))^o.$$

Consequently,

$$f_*\mu(G) = \mu(f^{-1}(G)) \leq \sup_m \mu(\cap_{k=m}^{\infty} (f_k^{-1}(G))^o) \leq \sup_m \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\cap_{k=m}^{\infty} (f_k^{-1}(G))^o).$$

We have used  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ . Finally we obtain,

$$f_*\mu(G) \leq \sup_m \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\cap_{k=m}^{\infty} (f_k^{-1}(G))^o) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f_n^{-1}(G)) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n)_*\mu_n(G),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

### Exercise 12.3

Let  $P$  be a transition function on  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $V: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$  be a Borel measurable function. Suppose there exist a positive constant  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$TV(x) \leq \gamma V(x) + C,$$

for every  $x$  such that  $V(x) \neq \infty$ . Then

$$T^n V(x) \leq \gamma^n V(x) + \frac{C}{1 - \gamma}.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations:

$$\begin{aligned} T^n V(x) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} V(y) P^n(x, dy) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} TV(y) P^{n-1}(x, dy) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} V(y) P(z, dy) P^{n-1}(x, dz) \\ &\leq C + \gamma \int_{\mathcal{X}} V(z) P^{n-1}(x, dz) \leq \dots \\ &\leq C + C\gamma + \dots + C\gamma^n + \gamma^n V(x) \leq \gamma^n V(x) + \frac{C}{1 - \gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

### Exercise 12.4

Let  $F: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be continuous and bounded,  $(\xi_n)$  a collection of i.i.d  $\mathcal{Y}$ -valued random variables independent of the  $\mathcal{X}$ -valued random variable  $X_0$ . Define

$$X_{n+1} = F(X_n, \xi_n).$$

Suppose, furthermore, there exists a Borel measurable function  $V: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with compact sub-level sets and constants  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Y}} V(F(x, y)) \hat{\mathbb{P}}(dy) \leq \gamma V(x) + C, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X},$$

where  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$  is the distribution of  $\xi_n$ . Prove that the process  $X$  has at least one invariant probability measure.

*Proof.* We recall from Exercise 7.1 that  $X$  was shown to induce a Feller semigroup. Moreover,

$$P(x, A) = \mathbb{E}(X_1 \in A | x_0 = x) = \mathbb{E}(F(X_0, \xi_0) \in A | X_0 = x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{1}_A(F(x, y)) \hat{\mathbb{P}}(dy).$$

Then the left hand side of the given inequality is  $TV$  and  $V$  is a Lyapunov function. The existence of an invariant probability measure now follows from the Lyapunov function test.  $\square$